SECKET-EVES ONLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
The assassination	. 1
Overt knowledge of U.S. Government involvement	2
Recollections of CIA officials	3
The organization of the coup group	• 3
Why a coup failed to follow the assassination	. 8
International activity in opposition to Trujillo	11
The cast	. 13
The first Farland memorandum	16
Level of CIA activity prior to 1960	. 17
Approach to Trujillo by General Clark	17
Operation Lancet	. 19
State asks Farland if he could engineer a coup	20
Farland replies that he could	. 21
Farland says the dissidents need guns	22
The U.S. is prepared to assist in overthrowing Trujillo	
Rubottom asks that sniper rifles be supplied the dissidents	25
Acting DCI approves air drop of 12 Springfield rifles	. 26
Special Group approves small arms for dissidents	29
COS asks that one weapon be sent in each pouch	. •
Dearborn learns of plan to assassinate by intercepting auto	. (b)(1)
asks for submachine guns for plotters Dearborn asks for three 38-caliber pistols for dissidents	, , , ,
The plot to kill Trujillo at his mistress' apartment	(b)(3) . 36
COS protests restriction on pouching weapons	36
Hqs guidance cable on policy and objectives	
Station asks permission to pass three carbines to dissidents	38
Hqs approves passing carbines	. 40
The carbines are passed to the dissidents	40
Request for pouch waiver ref pouching submachine guns	. 41
Submachine guns pouched	42
Pleas from dissidents that submachine guns be passed to them	. 42
Hqs informs the station not repeat not to pass submachine guns	- 43
Post-Bay of Pigs standdown cable	. 44
Station acknowledges receipt of submachine guns	7+7+
Draft cable (not sent) approving release of submachine guns	• 47
State Department disapproves passing submachine guns	48
The whereabouts of the three carbines	. 49
Special Group confirms not passing submachine guns to dissidents	50 50
The dissidents' assassination plans are firm	. 51
U.S. policy does not condone assassination	51 50
Dearborn and the COS protest	. 52
Critic No. 1 on the assassination	53 5)
Vice President Johnson asks about arms delivery	• 5 ⁴
Discovery of U.S. involvement with assassination group	55 50
Recapitulation of arms passed or considered for passing The motivations of the assassins	• 59 61
THE WEATANTOND OF MIC RESERVATIO	\circ_{\perp}

SEGRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

SECRET-EVES ONLY

Rafael Trujillo, the Dominican dictator, was assassinated at about ten p.m. on the night of 30 May 1961. It was Trujillo's custom to visit one of his mistresses at his ranch at San Cristobal about once a week. On most of his travels he rode in a Chrysler with bullet-proof glass and with security guards riding in accompanying cars. He departed from this practice on his periodic trips to San Cristobal and substituted a highly stereotyped pattern of action: after his nightly walk, he dismissed his companions; changed into a khaki uniform; and set out for San Cristobal in an unescorted 1957 Chevrolet, always driven by the same chauffeur.

On the night of the slaying, the Chevrolet was intercepted on a four-lane highway on the outskirts of Ciudad Trujillo by eight armed assassins in three cars. Trujillo was killed by gunfire, and his body was removed from the scene by the assassins. Trujillo's chauffeur was seriously wounded, was left behind by the assassins, and survived. All of the assassins escaped from the scene. One was never caught and was eventually granted amnesty. Two were killed while resisting arrest. The others were arrested, confessed, and were later executed before coming to trial. About eight or nine others, who were in on or knew of the plot but did not participate in the actual assassination, were killed while in custody, while resisting arrest, or by suicide to avoid arrest and torture.

(Note: We use the term "plotters" to refer to all of those involved in this attempt to overthrow Trujillo. "Assassins" refers to the small group that actually did the killing.)

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

There were reports in Ciudad Trujillo at the time that the U.S. Consulate had been in some way involved with the plotters. Eventually, after the surviving plotters were granted amnesty, many of the details came out. Norman Gall, a reporter with the San Juan Star, wrote an article that appeared in The New Republic issue of 13 April 1963. Excerpts follow:

The assassination of the Dominican Republic's Rafael L. Trujillo was carried out with assistance from the US Central Intelligence Agency. Arms for the May 30, 1961 slaying of the 69-year-old dictator on a lonely stretch of highway near his capital were smuggled by the CIA into the country at the request of the assassins.

The CIA began shipping arms to the Dominican Republic in late 1960, following a series of talks between US Consul Henry Dearborn, Chief Political Officer John Barfield of the US Consulate, and Luis Amiama Tio. Also involved in the plot was Antonio Imbert, who had been Governor of Porto Plata province.

As Trujillo's political and financial problems deepened, talks continued between Dearbon, Barfield and leaders of the anti-Trujillo conspiracy. Toward the end of 1960, contact was established between Amiama and a CIA agent who . . . was named Plato Cox.

The key link between the assassins and the CIA in the arms shipments was a long-time American civilian resident of Ciudad Trujillo, Lorenzo Berry, otherwise known as "Wimpy," who operated a supermarket in a fashionable neighborhood where Trujillo also lived. "Wimpy" was put under brief arrest after the killing but was later allowed to leave the country:

The weapons were imported in small parts, to be assembled later by the plotters, among the routine grocery shipments for the supermarket arriving regularly in the capital's port. The

gun parts entered the Republic in specially-marked food cans, which were later turned over to the conspirators.

Plans for the intended assassination were worked out during the same period in which the abortive assault on Cuba was being prepared. However, when the CIA-organized April 17, 1961, invasion at the Bay of Pigs failed and world attention was focused on Washington's complicity in that operation, a post-ponement of the attempt on Trujillo's life was ordered because of the embarrassment another such failure might cause the United States. But the order to hold up came too late. The needed weapons were already in the hands of the conspirators, who refused appeals by Dearborn and Barfield to delay the assassination. They insisted on moving at the first opportunity. This came on May 30, when Trujillo and his chauffeur drove out into the country in an unescorted 1957 Chevrolet for a rendezvous at a San Cristobal estate, La Fundacion, with Trujillo's 20-year-old mistress, Mona Sanchez.

It can be reported on excellent authority that close associates of the slain dictator knew of the US role within a few days following the killing.

Dearborn, Barfield and Berry had meanwhile been rushed out of the Dominican Republic by US officials. Since the ouster of the Trujillos, Berry ("Wimpy") has returned to operate his handsomely appointed supermarket, where he greets customers with calm and courtesy, as if Trujillo had never lived.

We interviewed Richard Bissell (then DD/P), J. C. King (then Chief, WH Division), and J. D. Esterline (then Chief of Operations, WH Division). King recalls the Agency's role as being limited to monitoring internal plotting against the Trujillo regime "but not pushing or instigating." Esterline, like King, recalls that the Agency was not directly involved in coup plotting. He described the

- 3 -

SECRET-EVES ONLY

furnishing of weapons as a symbol--something that would constitute tangible evidence of U.S. support. Bissell remembers the Agency role as being somewhat more positive than that described by King and Esterline. He viewed the Agency's role as one of giving support to an indigenous group whose intended actions were in the U.S. interest. He described the affair as "a fairly normal operation involving meetings, discussions, and formal approvals." We do not have firm information as to whether or not any of the weapons supplied by CIA were actually used in the assassination of Trujillo. Both Esterline and King believe they were not. Bissell, however, has a vague impression that one of them was.

The Agency officers most immediately involved with the pl	Lotters
are now widely scattered. who was chief of station	(b)(3)
until October 1960, is now retired. who was chie	ef (b)(3)
of station at the time of the assassination, is in	(b)(1)b)(3)
the station's operations officer, is in	(b)(1(b)(3)
the station's administrative assistant who was used a	(b)(3)
communications link and in the passing of the arms, resigned i	.n 1964
and is believed to be living in the	head- (b)(3)
quarters branch chief, is in We elected not t	co call (b)(1) (b)(3)
back any of these people for interviews. While they might be	able

- 4 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

to furnish minor details that are missing from the written records,	
we think it unlikely that they would be able to add significantly	
	b)(1) b)(3)
files.	
Agency activities aimed at replacing the Trujillo regime were	
The second of th	b)(1) b)(3)
the cryptonym for the operation to remove Trujillo by	b)(1) b)(3)
violent action. We have reviewed the voluminous files. Most (
of this account is drawn from them. Many of the more significant	D)(O)
documents were not put into the files but were kept in a	(b)(1) (b)(3)
private file by J. C. King. He made that file available to us.	(5)(5)
	(b)(7)(d)

- 5 -

(b)(1)
From the records, we can trace the origins and the course of (b)(3)

U.S. policy with respect to the overthrow of Trujillo. We also can ascertain from the files the nature and the extent of U.S. support of the plotters, including the passing to them of weapons out of the U.S. Consulate in Ciudad Trujillo. What we cannot discover from the files, despite quite massive documentation, is how the coup group was organized. The best single account we find, and it is not wholly accurate, is in a book by Robert Crassweller.* The main flaw in the story is its failure to identify the individuals with whom the U.S. Government was actually working. Excerpts follow:

The plot was organized on the basis of two groups of conspirators linked together by a liaison that later proved too fragile to bear the stresses laid upon it. Each group had its purpose and its separate personnel.

The function of the Action Group was to assassinate Trujillo and immediately present proof of its succes, in the form of Trujillo's corpse, to the second group, the Political Group. The latter would then launch a coup, taking over the machinery of the government and the Armed Forces.

The Action Group consisted of eight men. It appears to have been organized originally by General Antonio Imbert Barreras and Salvador Estrella. They were joined by Lieut. Amado Garcia Guerrero, a member of the military corps attached to Trujillo in the National Palace; Antonio de la Maza, the brother of Octavio de la Maza, who had been killed by the government because of his involvement in the

* Robert D. Crassweller, <u>Trujillo</u>: <u>The Life and Times of a Caribbean Dictator</u> (New York: MacMillan Co., 1966)

Galindez case; Huascar Tejeda; Roberto Pastoriza; and Pedro Livio Cedeno. The eighth member, Manuel Caceres Tunti, was in Moca on the night of the 30th and saw none of the action.

The Political Group had a less distinct membership. It included Luis Amiama Tio, Modesto Diaz, General Juan Tomas Diaz, and several others. At the very top it had the support of General Roman Fernandez, Secretary of State for the Armed Forces, and his brother Bibin.

The over-all authority appears to have centered principally in General Diaz, probably the only one who had sufficient prestige to command authority.

The Action Group would remain in daily readiness. When information in the Palace indicated that Trujillo was going to drive to Estancia Fundacion . . . Garcia Guerrero in the Palace would inform the others by personal message.

The expected message from Garcia Guerrero in the Palace came to the patient plotters at seven o'clock on the evening of May 30. They were not fully prepared and coordinated at this moment, but the emotional and impetuous de la Maza threatened to act by himself if the others lagged. In any event, the plan went into execution. Each of them had his revolver or pistol, and de la Maza also had his own sawed-off shotgun and two M-l semiautomatic rifles.

The conspiracy began to come apart within an hour. Never very professionally contrived, the plot was hastened prematurely to its culmination by the impatience of de la Maza, and it was now afflicted with bad luck and ineptitude. Immediately after the assassination, the men of the Action Group were unable to reach General Roman.

The Political Group of the conspiracy never took up its planned role. The Action Group scattered, leaving a trail as wide as a boulevard. Trujillo's body was left in the trunk of de la Maza's car, parked in the garage of General Tomas Diaz, where the police found it in a few hours. The conspirators were all hunted down very quickly, except for Amiama and Imbert, who managed to conceal themselves for months until the danger had passed.

- 7 -

(b)(7)(d)

- 8 -

(b)(7)(d)
1

- 9 -

(b)(7	')(d)
	•
	,

- 10 -

SECRET-LYES UNLY

(b)(7)(d)

The assassination itself and the plotting preceding it took place against a background of international activity in opposition to the Trujillo regime, with both the OAS and the U.S. taking clearcut stands. These are some of the highlights:

a. A briefing paper prepared in WH Division for the Joint Chiefs of Staff in August 1960 states that a revolt was planned for December 1959 in the Dominican Republic but was delayed pending receipt of arms from Venezuela. The plot was discovered and was rolled up in January. Venezuela brought charges in the OAS that the Dominican Republic was "in flagrant violation of human rights, freedom, and democracy" following the mass arrests in the Dominican Republic in January 1960. A committee of the

- 11 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

OAS assigned to investigate the charges reported on 8 June 1960 that the Dominican government was guilty as charged.

b. A near-miss assassination attempt against President Betancourt on 24 June 1960 killed two in his entourage and injured him and several others. The Venezuelan government announced after capture of most of the assassins that the Dominican government was responsible for the attempt on Betancourt's life and took its case to the OAS. On 20 August, the OAS voted sanctions against the Dominican Republic. Under Secretary of State Dillon, testifying before the House Agriculture Committee on 24 August in support of a request by President Eisenhower for a cut in the Dominican Republic's sugar quota, is reported as having said that it was hoped that economic sanctions would result in the downfall of Trujillo's dictatorship and its replacement by a moderate and free regime. United States severed diplomatic relations with the Dominican Republic on 26 August 1960, although consular relations were continued.

- 12 -

As a further prelude to a chronological review of operation (b)(1)we think it would be useful to identify the people (b)(3)involved, especially since officers of the Department of State played a very prominent role. Washington - Department of State Roy R. Rubottom Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs Frank Devine Special Assistant to Rubottom John C. Hill Special Assistant to Rubottom Washington - CIA Chief, WH Division J. C. King

Ciudad Trujillo - Department of State

Joseph S. Farland

Ambassador until 28 June 1960. It is pertinent to note that King and Esterline attribute U.S. and CIA involvement

Chief, Branch III, WH Division

(b)(3)

- 13 -

	with the plotters in the Dominican Republic to Ambassador Farland. They describe him as a former FBI employee who considered himself quite a clande operator. who later served under him in Panama, called him "a will man who bugged the Agency to support a move to assassinate Trujillo." He had direct dealings with a number of the plotters.	i (b)(6) ld
Henry Dearborn	Counsellor of Embassy until 26 August 1960 and Consul General thereafter. I used the code name in correspond with the plotters.	He Hei(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(6)
		(b)(1) (b)(3)
Ciudad Trujillo - CIA		
	Chief of Station until October 1960	(b)(3)
	Chief of Station from 20 January until 4 June 1961. Code name used with the	(b)(3)
	plotters:	(b)(1)
	Operations Officer	(p)(3)
	Administrative Assistant	(b)(3)
Key Dominican Plotters		
		(b)(1) (b)(3)

- 14 -

(b)(1) (b)(3)

- 15 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

(b)(1) (b)(3)

Two documents provide a useful point of depature, from an historical point of view. One is a semi-official letter from Ambassador Farland in the Dominican Republic to Assistant Secretary of State Rubottom. The other document is an internal CIA staff paper revealing the relatively passive role that had been played by CIA prior to 1960.

16 November 1959

Ambassador Farland wrote an "Official-Informal" memorandum to Rubottom reporting that "the underground is more active today than ever in the history of the Trujillo regime." He described the principal opposition group as being about 2,000 strong. He said:

"... within this group there is growing militant anti-American feeling based on the premise that the United States should actively aid the Dominican revolutionary (sic) for the overthrow of the Trujillo regime. Discussions I have heard on this subject show an appalling lack of understanding of the position of the United States and its adopted doctrine of non-intervention.... This group is in possession of clandestine broadcasting equipment which will go into operation when the strike is made. CAS has been informed and joins with me in suggesting that you pass this information to J. C. King."

- 16 -

15 February 1960

A CIA staff paper, addressed to Chief, WH Division, discusses

a plan for improving intelligence coverage of the Dominican Republic

in the event of Trujillo's removal from power. The objectives

stated in the paper were to ensure orderly transition in the event

Trujillo abdicated or was deposed and to prevent a takeover by

Castro-type forces.

(b)(1)
(b)(3)

10 March 1960

This is the date of the first draft that appears in Agency files of a plan to persuade Trujillo to retire. The originator of the draft is not shown, but it was to be forwarded to President Eisenhower from General Edwin Clark, a friend of the President from their years of military service. The plan was for Clark to approach Trujillo, with whom he had been on good terms in the past, and attempt to persuade Trujillo to retire. It was to be pointed out that there was

- 17 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

SECRET-EYES ONLY

no one who could succeed him, and that to protect his family and to preserve his image as <u>El Benefactor</u>, he should step down and allow asylum: to be arranged for him. His family would have the wealth already outside the Dominican Republic, and the fortune by inside would be placed in trust, to be administered internationally-known personages for the benefit of the Dominican people.

This proposition received high-level attention in Washington, including that of President Eisenhower, Secretary of State Herter, and Allen Dulles. In approving Clark's approach to Trujillo, the President specified that Clark should travel as a representative of the State Department, rather than as a personal emissary of the President. General Clark traveled to the Dominican Republic and met with Trujillo on 27 March. By the time of the meeting it appears that it had been decided that the plan would be presented as merely that of private citizen Clark, and that was what was done. The station thought it likely that Trujillo recognized the official U.S. Government hand in the proposal. Of course, nothing came of the meeting.

22 March 1960

Ambassador Farland, in a TOP SECRET memorandum to Rubottom, forwarded a plan of a Dominican group plotting Trujillo's overthrow

- 18 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

bearing the code name "Operation Lancet." It was basically a proposal for a course of political action reinforced by the threat of being backed by muscle. The operation was to begin with the who arrival of a "special U.S. confidential envoy"/would tell Trujillo to leave the country. "He tells it plainly and decisively; he will give him 12 or 18 hours to do it." It would be made clear that if Trujillo refused, a border incident would be contrived, which would be followed by "collective intervention." Assuming Trujillo's acceptance of the ultimatun, the plan then outlined steps for transition to an operating representative government. The army would be reduced in size. A caretaker government would prepare for free elections. Ambassador Farland forwarded the plan with these comments of his own to Rubottom:

"... this segment of the dissidents is psychologically conditioned to a point where it will take any step except the ultimate, and for the execution of the latter they expect United States assistance....

"Dick, I think the time has come for certain agencies of our Government, without attribution, to establish and implement a definite constructive program to influence the course of events in the Dominican Republic. My conversations with the Secretary and Allen Dulles emphasized the problems and the possibilities of this type of approach. "

30 March & 7 April 1960

The Special Group considered actions that might be taken in the

- 19 -

event of a flare-up in the Dominican Republic. On 30 March, fearing that Cuba might be involved, there was talk of evacuating U.S. civilians from Guantanamo. There was also discussion of the possibility of sealing off the land entrance to the Dominican Republic from Haiti and of the problem of providing asylum for Trujillo. On 7 April, there was further talk of sealing off the country if Trujillo fell, and an appraisal was made of the chances of his leaving willingly:

"... it is evident that Trujillo will not consider making any arrangements for orderly succession to himself, but that he will either hang on to the bitter end or flee the country on short notice, a la Batista."

9 A	pril 1960	
		from which
the	following is an extract:	

"Could you, if so instructed, make appropriate arrangements within next few weeks with appropriate civil and military dissident elements to take over the GODR? You would be authorized to indicate to them that as soon as they had seized power they should immediately request United States recognition, and ask for United States military assistance under Article Three of the Rio Treaty in the event of actual or threatened Castro-sponsored invastions or of threatened insurrection incited by pro-Castro or pro-Communist elements within or outside Dominican Republic . . .

(b)(3)

"What, if any, aid would be essential to dissident elements prior to takeover "

- 20 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

"FYI wish to emphasize strongly that above is for contingency planning purposes only and in no way indicates higher authority at present contemplates such action. "

11	April	1960

(b)(3)

in part as follows:

"Under instruction, it would be possible for me to make arrangements with appropriate civilian dissidents whose leaders are known to me including names their selectees for top governmental positions, and with one military dissident, a general, who is expecting an exchange of passwords for purpose secret discussions.

"Regardless of . . . seething unrest which exists, indications reflect Dominican dissidents, who are admittedly poorly armed, loosely organized and lack working liaison with military dissidents, will find it difficult to effect take-over of GODR without existence one or more or combination of following developments (which we summarize below):

- (1) Clandestine arms shipments, with sabotage materiel.
- (2) An invastion to serve as a signal to the populace.
- (3) Implementation of the dissidents' "Operation Lancet."
- (4) Advance psychological conditioning by radio.
- (5) "Incapacitation or assassination of Trujillo."
- (6) A careful step-by-step plan for the period preceding the coup and for the period following it.

Farland stated a need for "minimum but key cooperation" from Dominican military dissidents. He added:

"One of the greatest contributions U.S. could make to dissidents would be to let them know we are prepared to assist

- 21 -

them; transmission of armament and sabotage material . . . could fully substantiate this cooperation. "

9 May 1960	
	(b)(1) (b)(3)

A memorandum written of a debriefing of Ambassador Farland reads, in part, as follows:

"The dissidents need guns and ammunition from the United States. In particular they need a small number of high-powered rifles with telescopic lenses. The Ambassador said that he saw no problem in the purchase and delivery clandestinely of the arms to the dissidents. But he said, of course, this raises the question of whether the U.S. Government wants to engage in this activity.

"Col. King said that this of course is a policy decision. He said that CIA could deliver the arms if this is the group we want to support.

- 22 -

(Comment: Farland was in Washington for consultations.

Although he is listed as having served as Ambassador until 28

June 1960, it appears that he did not actually return to duty
in Ciudad Trujillo. His successor, Vinton Chapin, was nominated
13 June, but he never took the post.)

3 June 1960

Farland met with		(b)(3)
in WH Division.		
		(b)(1)
	emorandum of the	—(b)(3) (b)(3)

meeting includes this comment:

"Farland then told me that the underground opposition are planning to assassinate Trujillo. He said that it is planned to use a powerful bomb which could be planted along the route of Trujillo's nightly walk and could be detonated by a remotecontrol firing device. He intimated that this plan is pretty well along and could be executed at any time."

Undated Working Paper (filed between papers dated 25 May and 13 June)

The author is not identified nor is there any indication that the proposal was approved. Its objective was stated thus: "To precipitate the downfall of the Trujillo regime by 1 August or as soon as possible thereafter." It proposed that the U.S. become a prime motive force against the Trujillo regime. "In the event Trujillo

- 23 -

refused to abdicate, consideration should be given to other covert or overt actions designed to effect his removal from the scene." A deadline of 1 July was proposed for completing arrangements.

Henry Dearborn, who was in charge in the Ambassador's absence,

17 June 1960

queried , asking for confirmation on	(b)(3)
certain points for a meeting he was having with a dissident leader,	•
He stated his understanding of the U.S. position	(b)(1) (b)(3)
as follows:	
a. The U.S. is not prepared to take overt action against the Trujillo government while it is in full control.	
b. The U.S. is prepared to assist the opposition clandest to develop effective forces to accomplish Trujillo's overthrow.	inely
c. Assistance must continue to be channeled covertly until such time as the dissidents establish a provisional government which controls a substantial section of the Dominican Republic.	
20 June 1960	
asked Rubottom and Farland	(b)(6)
if he could make the same statements concerning U.S. policy in a	
meeting with another dissident leader. Farland replied	(b)(1) (b)(3)
in the affirmative.	

- 24 -

28 June 1960

Dearborn cabled the results of his first meeting with	
)(1))(3)
for planning purposes, was the period extending through the	/()
"incapacitation" of Trujillo; too much planning for what would	
follow risked exposure. Dearborn said that he agreed.	

Also on 28 June (although the first written record we find is a summary memorandum prepared on 18 October), Rubottom told J.C.

King that the Government of the United States was prepared to participate in the overthrow of the Trujillo regime to the following extent: "to provide a small number of sniper rifles or other devices for the removal of key Trujillo people from the scene. ".

Rubottom added that the U.S. Government was not prepared, at this time, to send arms, equipment, or paramilitary experts to assist the undergound to accomplish a revolt.

29 June 1960

Dearborn cabled the results of his meeting with	Не	(b)(1) (b)(3)
said that had recommended a temporary standdown on "acti	on	(b)(1) (b)(3)
toward incapacitation of Trujillo" while determining Venezuela'	s	(-)(-)
reaction to the Truillo assassination plot against Retancourt	ntukak	

- 25 -

Dearborn reported that the dissidents had a capability for picking $\frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{nearby}}$ up arms at sea and for caching/nearby caves. He also described an airdrop site.

1 July 1960

A memorandum addressed to the Acting DCI recommended approval for the delivering of weapons to the Dominican dissidents.

"It is proposed to procure and deliver to underground opposition forces in the Dominican Republic 12 sterile U.S. rifles, Model 1903 (Springfield), with telescopic sights, together with five hundred rounds of ammunition for same. It is planned to deliver these weapons by air through DPD facilities provided arrangements can be worked out with the opposition for their reception. If they cannot be delivered by air, plans are currently being studied in the CA staff for delivery by sea.

"Approval for delivery of these arms has been given by Assistant Secretary of State Roy Rubottom, who requests that the arms be placed in the hands of the opposition at the earliest possible moment.

The memorandum was signed by for Chief, WH Division; was	(b)(3)
concurred in by Richard Helms as Acting DD/P; and was approved by	
C. P. Cabell on 2 July 1960 as Acting DCI.	
to airdrop twelve	(b)(6)
30.03 Springfields, with ammunition, and with sights "fixed and	
sighted." The cable asked for the coordinates of a suitable drop	

- 26 -

site and for a four-man reception team with flashlights. The cable

SECRET-EYES ONLY

added that, "If air drop delivery not possible, Hqs now studying means of effecting delivery by sea if deemed more practicable."

8 July 1960

Headquarters cabled Dearborn, in part:

imperative	learn from	and/	or	(b)(1)
what	plans and capal	oilities are to	be	(b(b)(1)
employed simultaneously	with action to	incapacitate I	rujillo	(b)(3)
and what is needed in wa	y of material s	support for imp	lementatio	n
of plan of action. Of p	articular impor	tance is info	re extent	_
of military participatio	n in preparatio	ns. In spite	of	(b)(1)
apparent lack of knowled	ge of opposition	n organization	, most	(b)(3)
logical that he is activ	ely collaborati	ng with a grou	p that	(/(/
must have some plan and	capability for	action which w	ill assure	
stable interim governmen	t after removal	_of Trujillo.		
In your opinion are	and	members of s	ame group	(b)(1)
or if not could the two	groups be merge	ed? "		(b)(3)

8 September 1960

The Special Group discussed a letter drafted by the Department of State for delivery to Trujillo (copy in CIA files dated 6 September) proposing that he step down. The DCI (Mr. Dulles) doubted the wisdom of putting such a proposal in writing. He preferred a personal approach. The Group concluded that a personal approach would be best and discussed William Pawley as a candidate for the job.

18 October 1960

Chief, WH Division, prepared a memorandum summarizing approvals

- 27 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

obtained for action in support of the Dominican internal opposition. The text states that the summary was "provided in response to your verbal request on 13 October 1960 . . . " This is the memorandum to which reference is made earlier under the entry for 28 June 1960.

29 December 1960

The minutes of the Special Group meeting of this date read, in part:

"Bissel gave the broad outlines of a two-pronged plan for proceeding with certain limited covert activities directed against the Trujillo regime. He emphasized that although support would be given to there is no (b)(1) intention of establishing a paramilitary force as such (b)(3) He also emphasized that the proposed actions would not of themselves bring about the desired result in the near future, lacking some decisive stroke against Trujillo himself.

".... It was noted that Mr. Pawley feels that overt intervention in the Dominican Republic should be mounted simultaneously with the Cuban operations.... it was agreed that the plan is worth beginning at this time no matter what the eventual decision on overt intervention may be."

(Comment: We find nothing in the files identifiable with such a "plan." We found no other reference to any specific plan of about this date.)

12 January 1961

The following is an excerpt from the minutes of a meeting of the

- 28 -

Special Group on this date:

"Mr. Merchant explained the feeling of the Department of State that limited supplies of small arms and other material should be made available for dissidents inside the Dominican Republic. Mr. Parrott said that we believe this can be managed securely by CIA, and that the plan would call for final transportation into the group being provided by the dissidents themselves. The Group approved the project."

19 January, 1961

Cable from headquarters:

"For Dearborn: FYI and use as you consider adviseable, authority has been given Hqs for delivery to internal front of limited supply of small arms and other material on condition that introduction of material into DomRep be effected by Doms.
... Above coordinated with State."

24 January 1961

Memorandum for the record of a discussion of Project by (b)(1) (b)(3) members of the CA Staff and of WH Division:

"It was confirmed (from Mr. Parrott by phone) that the Special Group now has approved that a 'limited supply' of small arms and related equipment may be provided covertly to the Dominican resistance elements with whom we have been dealing. This was additional to the Special Group's approval on 29 December 1960 of our Operational Proposal."

(Comment: As noted in the entry for 29 December, we know none of the specifics of this Operational Proposal.)

- 29 -

31 January 1961

"Official-Informal" letter from Dearborn to Devine in State Department:

"With regard to the delivery of exotic equipment, my friends were of course delighted that such a decision had been made. I hope that it will not be necessary to play down too long the question of actual delivery since they are eager and expectant and too great tardiness on our part would only lead them to question our sincerity."

6 February 1961

Cable from headquarters:

"1. Realize details not now available but Hqs would appreciate $\sqrt{\text{dissidents'}}$ reaction to offer of armed assistance to include $\sqrt{\text{dissidents'}}$ current plan . . .

"2. Al <u>s</u> o request		i	initial ass	essme	nt
_dissidents <u>'</u> 7 capabilit	y carry o	out plan	of action	once	limited
armed assistance provid	ed."				

(b)(3)

10 February 1961

The station cabled its reply:

"No solid reaction except joy as of 9 Feb.

"Initial assessment is could not carry out plan. But with access now approaching point when will better be able evaluate group potential for action. We some weeks away from being able make solid preliminary assessment. (b)(1)

(b)(3)1)

"Suggest KUBARK consider sending in each pouch one small

- 30 -

size high fire power weapon so at least gesture in right direction can be made if we all thrown out. Ammo could come later."

14 February 1961

At the Special Group meeting of this date, Mr. Bundy:

"... asked that a memorandum be prepared for higher authority on the subject of what plans can be made for a successor government to Trujillo."

(Comment: We did not find a copy of such a memorandum in CIA files.)

15 February 1961	
the headquarters branch chief, met with	(b)
in New York City. Excerpts from his	(b)(1) (b)(3)
memorandum record of the meeting follow:	(/(/

(3)

played down somewhat the necessity for (b)(1) delivery of arms, although he did say that it is desireable (b)(3) and should be a part of the planning. He said that members of the opposition could, if they wanted to, obtain small quantitities of arms from Army contacts . . . but that any arms so obtained would have to be used very quickly before their loss is discovered. For this reason, he said it would be desireable to have arms delivered by us that could be stored for use at any moment desired.

said that the object of obtaining this kind of help (b)(1) anyway is the elimination of Trujillo and instead of using arms (b)(3) or grenades he began to speak of more exotic materiels and methods . . . a powerful bomb that could be planted along the route of Trujillo's evening walk and could be detonated from a nearby

- 31 **-**

electronic device . . . (or) . . . the possibility of poisoning the dictator's food or of putting poison capsules in bottles of certain medicines that the dictator is known to take regularly.

"A less exotic and more practical plan that they put forward was one that they said had already been planned at one time before. They said that when the dictator leaves his office for his home there are three automobiles in his procession with the dictator himself in the first automobile and the bodyguards in the following two. The idea that has been discussed internally is for several trucks to block the progress of these cars at a given intersection at which time young men who belong to the action groups would leap out of the trucks with fire arms and grenades to finish off the dictator."

4 March 1961

Headquarters pouched to the stat	cion a copy of me	emorandum	(b)(3)
of his meeting with	On 4 March, the chief or	f static(b)	i(1) i(3)
cabled his assessment of the plan to	intercept Trujillo's ento	urage	
and kill him with guns and grenades:			ı
type attack is precisely what bo cope with. Their defense patter	dyguards appear best qual n and displacement vehicl	ified (b))(1))(3)
plus police practice detour traf make idea fatally childis	h in view."	(b) (b)	o(1) (b)(3) o(3)
(Comment: The assassination	n was actually carried ou	t	
through a variation of this schen	me. The assassins detect	ed and	
took advantage of a stereotyped	pattern in Trujillo's mov	ements	

- 32 -

in which he rode at night, unescorted, on little traveled highways.)

	The station cabled headquarters on 4 March:
	" if opportunity support pro-US group not to be lost, believe imperative make sure arms with ammo ready for immediate entry DomRep if necessary at air drop sites "
5 Ma :	rch 1961
	As a follow-up to that cable, the station cabled a communication
from	"We have in our hands chance to speedup (b)(1) (b)(3)
even	
assu	red as much as human fallibility permits "
7 Mai	rch 1961 Headquarters cabled the field, in part: "Existing authorization precludes introduction arms into
	Dom Rep by U.S. personnel. Order prepare contingency plans for possible alternate delivery method, request station determine additional drop zones and confirm those mentioned Request type and quantity arms desired "
8 Mai	ceh 1961
	Cable from the station: 'told Dearborn on 7 March that and (b)(1) group plan try kill Truillo by intercepting his car/ at point (b)(3) near

- 33 **-**

8 March 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

"Hqs deeply interested plan . . . and request urgently additional details in order make plans timely assistance. Uncertainty of plans, timing and chances of success precludes Hqs seeking commitment for such speicific assistance as that requested "

13 March 1961

```
(b)(1)
(b)(3)
```

15 March 1961

Cable from the station:

asked small supply fragmentation grenades 'for use in next week	for	(b)(1(b)(1) (b)(1(b)(3)
By note, the chief of station checked back with	ī	(b)(1)
learned the dissident group must	7 this	(b)(3)
month or drop it as number of fringe persons with loose	nouths	(b)(3)
are apparently aware renewal plan asked	for five	(b)(1)
45 mm (sic) rapid fire weapons or similar, 1,500 rounds a	ummo for	(b)(3)

- 34 -

		•
	same, 50 fragmentation grenades, ten 64mm tank rockets "	
16	March 1961	
	Headquarters cabled its reply:	
	"Hqs exploring possibilities for arms delivery and will advise ASAP. Meanwhile, request you clarify soonest: Is making request on own initiative or is he speaking for"	(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3)
17 1	March 1961	
	Cable from the station:	
	"For issue to several of the dissident group Consul General Dearborn requests three 38 caliber Smith and Wesson Special pistols or similar and three small boxes cartridges. If sending ammo complicates problem, advise and we will try get from Marines.	
	"Chief of Station reiterates suggestion /to send in each pouch one small size high fire power weapon as Dearborn increasingly concerned lest momentum which group has now for /assassination decline result lack tangible or too slow action on arms by the U.S. Government. Also security these people increasingly of direct interest US Government which each day more involved in /the operation . Loss of one of key people thru capture could lead revelation details ODYOKE activity. Thus preferable we give them some chance defend themselves against SIM."	(b)(1) (b)(3)
18 N	March 1961	
	Chief of Station met for the first time with Dr.	(b)(3)
	He cabled the results:	(b)(1) (b)(3)

- 35 -

"His group /assassination plan now this: Group knows identity Trujillo's mistress in capital. He visits her once week or once in two weeks. Goes her apartment incognito and lightly guarded. Group apprently has someone in building who can alert them to visit. Dressed as army officers, small group will go to entrance, distract guard with pretext, dispatch him or them in silence, enter apartment and try do /assassination/, possibly including mistress, in silence.

"To do they need five M-3 or comparable machine guns a	and .
1500 rounds ammo for personal defense in event firefight.	Will
use quiet weapons for basic job	fina:(b)(1)
position was U.S. Government get items here soonest via pour	uch (b)(3)
or similar means. Evident he actually worried that deliver	ry by line
other means would compromise entire project. Obvious he ca	an
count on only one group both receive arms and do assassina	ation7.
expects and willing_risk chaos for	
of undetermined length after [assassination] mainly because	
security reasons his group cannot approach military and hop	pe to
get decisive agreement support before /assassination/.	

20 March 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

"Regret no authorization exists to suspend pouch regulations against shipment of arms . . . Will deliver to the group's representatives outside the Dominican Republic if this feasible. Coordinated with State Department."

22 March 1961

The station replied, in part:

"... we realize permission does not now exist but Chief of Station knows that he at last two posts received pistols via pouch for worthy purposes, so it can be done. Judging from ref, WH not prepared take this step. If this unalterably so then

- 36 -

please continue try get authorization for air	drop of arms
or import arms by other means. Compl	etely negative plea
for arms, whatever delivery method. will end	cooperation
and probably by	. * (b)(1)
	(b)(3)

25 March 1961

The above excerpt is the most significant point in the cable,	
but the excerpt does not fully reveal the contentious tone of the	
cable. On 25 March, Headquarters, in a cable released by the DD/P	٠
(Bissell) undertook to set straight. The cable gives a fairly	(b)(3)
complete statement of U.S. policy and objectives and of	(b)(3)
responsibilities. It is summarized here. We support a program to	
replace the Trujillo regime; we wish to avoid precipitate action if	
planning is not well organized, as appears to be the case; machine	
guns and ammunition will be supplied to demonstrate good will to the	
dissidents; the requested revolvers are being pouched. Headquarters	
is planning with State against the eventuality of sudden action by	
the dissidents that might result in the forming of a new government.	
"If above clarifies Hqs position, should proceed accordingly.	(b)(3)
In event major points remain unclear, State Department preparing	
orders permit return for consultations."	
(Comment: We do not know whether replied to this cable	(b)(3)
or not. We do not find a reply in the files. did go to	(b)(3)
headquarters for consultations about 5 April, but we cannot	
- 37 -	

SECRET-EYES ONLY

operational files that no arms were

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

* It is apparent from the operated ever air-dropped or delivered by sea.

establish that the trip was a direct result of this cable. There is some evidence that suggests that the trip may have been in connection with a request from the dissidents for submachine guns.)

26 March 1961

Cable from the station:

"For request permission pass three semi- $(b)(1)$
automatic M-l carbines 30 caliber and supply ammo which availabl(b)(3)
consulate from departed naval personnel. Would need from Hqs
nine 30 cartridge magazines for weapons. Request prompted by
note 25 March which says he cannot hold group (b)(1)
together beyond April 15 without arms delivery " (b)(3)
(Comment: It may be helpful to interrupt the chronology
at this point. As we will later explain, these carbines were
actually passed to the dissidents. They were in the hands of
who worked out the details of the assassinatio(b)(1) $(b)(3)$
and was the lead figure in carrying it out. They almost certainly
were taken to the scene of the slaying by the assassins. We have
no evidence that any of them were actually fired during the shooting.
The little evidence we have suggests that they were not actually
used. These three carbines are the weapons referred to by various
sources as having been passed to the plotters by CIA. We find no
evidence of any other weapons having been passed.)

- 38 -

27 March 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

"FYI, ref hardware / the three pistols the station asked be pouched makes fairly sizeable package if lumped single shipment. Request soonest COS estimate of risk involved sending one package; or whether split shipment preferable."

(Comment: We find no indication in the files that these revolvers were ever actually pouched. We suspect they were not, because the request for them was overtaken by subsequent events.)

29 March 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

"... Hqs inclined to favor passing ref (b)(1) carbines and ammo. Are carbines carried on local installation (b)(3) inventory, thus requiring paper work which may blow CIA's ultimate use? How does station propose to pass weapons in secure manner order avoid other ODACID installation employees becoming witting they being made available to Dominicans? Require Consul General Dearborn's concurrence. Advise.....

31 March 1961

The station replied:

"No inventory problem. No paper work to show CIA in act in anyway. Plan file off serial numbers. Will handle packaging after hours. Only consulate person who knows disposition is Dearborn. Delivery to depending on what (b)(1) steps seems best here. Dearborn concurred in request and in this

message. We cannot emphasize enough that we must make gesture of

- 39 -

good will to now. These items are not what he (b)(1) asked for but they are an investment in operational continuity. (b)(3) The security risk in his having these items is no greater than his having similar items delivery which done by more complicated and less secure cache or drop."

Headquarters cable to the station:

" \overline{P} assing the three carbines approved. Advise Hqs when passing of this equipment completed.

(Comment: This cable was released by the DD/P (Bissell).)

7 April 1961

Cable from the station:

"The pouch forwarding magazines for the three carbines contained 15-bullet magazines rather than 30-bullet magazines as requested. Pls expedite pouching 9 latter type M-l carbine magazines."

9 April 1961

Cable from the station:

	17							passed	(b)(1)
_the	three	carbine <u>s</u> /	to	A	pril	7.	No c	\circ mplications	,(b(b)(1)
	,							,	(b)(3)

(Comment: The files do not contain an account of how the carbines were actually passed. As we will later see, headquarters subsequently pouched submachine guns for passing to the dissidents. Headquarters asked for the station's plan for securely passing the submachine guns to the dissidents. The station replied: "Propose use essentially same technique as that for /the three carbines.

- 40 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

SECRET-EYES ONLY

(b)(1) (b)(3)

7 April 1961

Chief, WH Division, submitted to Chief, RI, a memorandum requesting waiver of pouch restriction on pouching weapons. "The above request is submitted for the following reason: Station Ciudad Trujillo has requested that Headquarters provide four M3 machine guns and 240 rounds of ammunition on a priority basis for issuance to a small action group to be used for self protection."

(Comment: The entry for 18 March 1961 refers to a request for submachine guns, but there was no follow-up on it from the station. This pouch waiver request was submitted while the chief of station was

- 41 -

at headquarters for consultations. It is probable that he made th	e
plea in person. As will be seen later, the submachine guns (the s	0-
called "grease guns" of World War II) were pouched but approval wa	s
never given the station to pass them to the plotters.)	

carried grease guils of world war II) were pouched but approval was	
never given the station to pass them to the plotters.)	
12 April 1961	
Headquarters cabled the station:	
"Equipment for	ect(b)(1) (b)(3)
(Comment: The delivery scheme is explained in comment on	
the 9 April entry.)	
13 April 1961	
An extract from a note sent to Consul General Dearborn from	•
:	(b)(1) (b)(3)
"The three Leica cameras, model M-l / the three carbines /, with their accessories, should be in the proper hands in a day or two With the small amount of equipment which they are receiving they can only take action at a few specific place and therefore the probabilities are considerably reduced and the time factor considerably extended accordingly. With the rest of the equipment (four Leicas, model M-3) / the submachine guns / the possible places of action are multiplied and the lost time greatly reduced."	5
14 April 1961	
Extract from a note from to	(b(b)(1) (b(b)(3)
- 42 -	. (2)(0)

SECRET-EYES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

Consul General Dearborn7:

"The three Leica cameras and accessories are in the hands of the ones that will take the pictures. They need more cameras in order to do a better and <u>faster</u> job. We hope that the memo we sent two days ago might help in Wash. in this regard."

17 April 1961

Note from	and	to (b)(1)
[Dearborn]:		(b)(1) (b)(3)
cameras already delivered it is job. He needs to have the rest Leicas) before he undertakes the sees them as good complement needs the others for the aggres belief that the ones already de purpose also: the men involved good faith of your people in Wa	says that with the 3 impossible to do the phot of the equipment (the 4 M e job. The ones already dary equipment (defensive) sive part of the job. It livered have served a psychave always been in doubt	meras: Leica (b)(1) ograph(b)(3) -3 elivered but he is my hological the
several months all they have ob see that you actually mean busing is waiting for the heavy camera	tained were promises. Now ness. So, now, all they a	they

17 April 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

				_				repea	t not
11	• •	most	important	the /	submac	hine g	tuns7 r	ot/be	
passed			without	addi	tional 1	Hqs ap	prova]	L. Pleas	_e (b)(1)
advise	when	equipment	received	and p	roposed	schem	e and	timing o	$_{f}$ (b)(3)
deliver				-	-				_ ()()

- 43 -

20 April 1961

	Headquarters cabled the station:	
	"Submachine guns should be held in station custody till further notice and not repeat not be passed to This Hqs decision based on judgment that filling vacuum created by [assassination] now bigger question than ever view unsettled conditions in Caribbean area.	(b)(1) (b)(3)
	Dotoils on an alternate with a little 1.	(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3
	(Comment: It might be noted that this cable was sent	(6)(0
	just three days after the failure of the landing at the Bay of	
	Pigs.)	
20 A	pril 1961	
		(b)(1) (b)(3)
	"The officers that were to do the photographic job are not only disappointed but really angry at the refusal of the deliver of the other Leicas. They have decided to give up the plan and disperse, because they cannot take any more risks for nothing."	. , . ,
20 A	pril 1961	
	Cable from the station:	
	"Submachine guns here 19 April with no entry problems whatsoever. Excellent basic wrapping means station's plan can be simplified even more.	(b)(1)
		(b)(3)

),),

(Comment: The precise nature of this "excellent basic
wrapping" is not further clarified in the file. Norman Gall,
writing in The New Republic, said that the weapons were shipped
to Wimpy Berry in food cans. This sounds to us as if the sub-
machine guns were packed in food containers for pouching. If so,
we wonder how Gall learned of this. We can state with fair
confidence that these submachine guns were never passed out of
the consulate. As late as the very day of the assassination,
sent a cable protesting the policy that forbade his passing(b)(3)
them. This sounds as if there must have been a leak from the
consulatefrom one of the very few who knew of the submachine
guns.
(b)(1) (b)(3)
21 April 1961
Cable from headquarters to the station:
"Submachine guns should be held in station custody till further notice and not repeat not be passed to (b)(1)
22 April 1961 (b)(3)
The station replied to headquarters' query of 20 April
egarding disposition of the three carbines:
to intermediary who holding until has given /the three carbines (b)(1) to intermediary who holding until picks up (b)(3)(1)
(b)(3)

- 45 -

(Comment. This intermediary appears to have been
The significance of this and the security problem it $(b)(1)$ $(b)(3)$
posed will become apparent from one of the later entries for
31 May.)
25 April 1961
Cable from the station:
"Foll from Dearborn: 'I believe political consequence of not delivering /submachine guns/ so serius I plan go Washington within next few days for discussion with Department and CAS Hqs!"
26 April 1961
Cable from the station:
maza plans try assassination between 29 April and 2 May. (b)(3) possibly not yet aware plan. No solid info other (b)(1) members assassination effort but probabl(b)(3) will aid. Will use the three carbines plus whatever else can (b)(3) get. De la Maza asks add the submachine guns if possible (b)(1) "
30 April 1961
Cable from the station:
"Following from Dearborn: April 28 as emissa(b)(1) and called on me with foll info:(b)(1) main mission was plead with me release submachine guns the(b)(3)1 believe in Dom Rep and under my control. Action group has 3 (b)(3) carbines, 4 to 6 12-gage shotguns with 120 rounds and some small arms Action entails roadside ambush near San Cristobal

- 46 -

and few additional weapons could be important..... I replied I had no submachine guns under my control and that any U.S. controlled items which might be in Dom Rep could only be released on specific authorization from Washington. I pointed out that since the three carbines were made available Cuban incident had occurred and hoped group could understand this is period of study and assessment...."

2 May 1961

Cable from the station:

".... suggest Hqs review decision not pass submachine guns. Determination of group to go ahead with possibly inadequate means could spell end best pro-US force now working toward the overthrow of the Trujillo regime."

2 May 1961

Draft cable prepared at headquarters:

".... Since it appears that EMOTH group has committed itself to action with or without additional support, coupled with fact that the carbines already made available to them for personal defense; station authorized pass submachine guns to for (b)(1) their additional protection on their proposed endeavor....(b)(3)

(Comment: This cable was never sent. It was authenticated by Ray Herbert for J. C. King, and Richard Bissell coordinated on it. It was forwarded to the DDCI for release. General Cabell attached a handwritten buck-slip: "Return to: Chief, W.H. Div., unacted upon.")

- 47 -

4 May 1961

The Director, at a meeting of the Special Group, reported a new anti-Trujillo plot, saying that we never knew if one of these would work or not.

5 May 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:	(b)(1
	(Β)(1
May 1961	
Headquarters cabled the station:	(b)(1)
6 May 1961 Cable from the station:	
"For Achilles and Coerr from De on me night May 15. Stated he emiss plans execute action against Trujill mission was urgently request me to a guns which it understood US agents he	sary from action group which (b)(3) lo night May 16. (b)(1) furnish group with few machine (b)(3)
(Comment: There is an unsigned	d and almost incomprehensible
memorandum in the files. It	is dated 17 May 1961 and con(b)(1) (b)(3)
a meeting with	The transmittal dispatch makes (b)(1) (b)(3)

- 48 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

it clear that the author was	(b)(1) (b)(3)
This is our interpretation of wha	
was trying to say: Sometime during the second	(b)(1)
week of May, asked to return the	(b)(3) thr(b)(1)
	(b)(3) at (b)(1)
	(b)(3) .sse(0)(1)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(b)(1)
	(b)(3)
said he had the carbines back in his pos	(b)(3)
and his group planned to carry out the assassination the following	lowing
night. The group that was to do the job was not the group the	hat
had been working with on behalf of the consulate.	(b)(1)
	/h\/2\
arrived while was	$\frac{(h)(3)}{\text{sti}(b)(1)}$
	stil(b)(1) $(b)(3)$
with After left, asked if	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) d was
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and to do the job did not know each other's identities. While	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3) d was
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and to do the job did not know each other's identities. While was talking with the man to whom had last	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) d was st (b)(1) (b)(3)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and to do the job did not know each other's identities. While was talking with the man to whom had last given the weapons presumably Antonio de la Maza arrived	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) he (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) d was st (b)(1) (b)(3) rbin(b)(1) nted(b)(1)
with After left, asked if had given the Carbines back to said he was certain not, although he and the man who had the carbines and to do the job did not know each other's identities. While was talking with the man to whom had last given the weapons presumably Antonio de la Maza arrived asked him if he had returned the car	stil(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(3) d was st (b)(1) (b)(3) rbin(b)(1) (b)(3) nted(b)(1) (b)(3)

- ha -

18 May 1961

There was discussion at a Special Group meeting of planning with respect to the Dominican Republic. Chester Bowles said he thought that an internal State planning paper outlining an ambitious paramilitary plan (and alleging Special Group approval) exceeded the Special Group's action. Mr. Parrott's notes supported that impression. The following is an excerpt from the minutes:

"General Cabell noted that the internal dissidents were pressing for the release to them of certain small arms now in U.S. hands in the Dominican Republic. He inquired whether the feeling of the Group remained that these arms should not be passed. The members showed no inclination to take a contrary position at this time." *

25 May 1961

The agenda of the Special Group meeting for this date proposed discussion of "further guidance to Dearborn re contacts with dissidents." The minutes of the meeting, however, make no mention of the subject.

27 May 1961

The station cabled:

"Department	from Dearbon	m: 'May 26 I had long talk with
		made no request for arms which(b)(1)
indicates group	has accepted	fact it must do with what it has. (b)(3)

- 50 -

* The small arms to which General Cabell referred were the submachine guns, which were pouched to the station but were never passed to the dissidents.

His only statement on this subject was that group has arms but is somewhat concerned whether enough.

"He stated action group planning overthrow Trujillo for past 3 weeks and representing anti-communist pro-democratic elements of which he member remains on brink final performance and determined as ever. Said he could give me no date because action depends when Trujillo follows awaited pattern which not known in advance. Group has arrangement for being informed sufficiently in advance to get into assigned positions once signal given. He asked if United States prepared assist pro-United States Dominicans quickly if they succeed overthrow Trujillo.

"I replied . . . speed with which assistance could be given would be increased considerably if we could have prior info on such subjects as timing, plans for action, personalities involved and identity of proposed junta. He replied participants were not prepared give their names until afterward and could not give time for reasons stated earlier.

"Re timing stressed event could happen any day and (b)(1) could in fact have happened 3 times in last 2 weeks except that (b)(3) necessary juxtaposition of forces did not materialize."

29 May 1961

Headquarters cabled the station:

"For Dearborn from State We must not run risk of U.S. association with political assassination, since U.S. as matter of general policy cannot condone assassination. This last principal is overriding and must prevail in doubtful situation. You must request and receive further specific instruction for any specific covert activity. At the present time we are unable to transfer arms to dissidents. . . .

(Comment: Both Dearborn and the chief of station sent cables commenting on this guidance cable. Their cables were filed

. - 51 -

in Ciudad Trujillo on 30 May but were not received in Washington until the early morning hours of 31 May--about eight hours after the assassination took place. Excerpts follow.)

31 May 1961

Cable from the station:

"State from Dearborn If attempt is made by U.S. friends assassinate Trujillo there will be accusations against us and best we can do is see there is no proof. Greatest danger point is He was given small number arms by (b)(1) dissident agent to hold several days and has told several person(b)(3) dissidents obtained these items from consulate. told me (b)(1) same and I have informed him this cannot be true or I would know (b)(3) and when he requested me supply arms through him for dissidents I told him this impossible. "

Cable from chief of station:

"Assume / the 29 May policy cable from State to Dearborn is final word on present policy on which CIA work here must be based. This for practical purposes retreat from previous policy. However Hqs aware extent to which U.S. Government already associated with assassination. If we are to at least try 'cover up tracks,' CIA personnel directly involved in assassination preparation must be withdrawn now. If assassination tried and not successful, immediate evacuation of the chief of station, the operations officer, and the administrative assistant mandatory. . . . "

- 52 -

31 May 1961

Received in Headquarters Signal Center at 0341 hours, Washington time:

FIASH SECRET CRITIC CIA CIUDAD TRUJILLO NUMBER 1. APPR 2.

SOURCE DISSIDENT IN DIRECT TOUCH WITH DISSIDENT ACTION GROUP (C).

SOURCE AT 2330 HOURS LOCAL TIME 30 MAY RECEIVED PREARRANGED SIGNAL WHICH MEANT TRUJILLO KILLED BY ASSASSINATION SAME NIGHT. AMERICAN CONSULATE PERSONNEL ENCOUNTERED ROADBLOCKS ON CIUDAD TRUJILLO RIO HAINA SUPER HIGHWAY AT ABOUT 0030 HOURS LOCAL TIME 31 MAY.

INDIVIDUALS FORMING BLOCKS APPEARED HASTILY ORGANIZED MILITIA ONLY FEW OF WHOM ARMED WITH FIREARMS OTHERS WITH MACHETES. NO CONFIRMATION TRUJILLO ASSASSINATION AS OF 0100 MAY 31. FILED 0600Z.

(Comment: The station's follow-up operational cable	
identified the source of Critic No. 1 as	(b)(1) (b)(3)
who gave the information in person to Consul General Dearborn	. , , ,
and Chief of Station at the consulate. At about 0100 hours	, (b)(3)
Dominican time, telephoned Dearborn "to ask	(b)(1) (b)(3)
cryptically whether we had the news." said he would add	71-1741
details when he had them.)	(6)(3)

- 53 -

3 June 1961

Memorandum for the record signed by J. D. Esterline, in part:

"The evening of 2 June, it was decided to immediately 🍻	
move out of the Dominican Republic the Station Chief and	(b)(3) (b)(3)
Case Officer It was also decided that Administrative	(c)(a)
Assistant should not return to Trujillo. Accord-	(b)(3)
ingly, an operational immediate cable was sent to the station	
the afternoon of 2 June issuing appropriate instructions "	

9 June 1961

Memorandum for the record signed by J. C. King, subject:

"High-Level Meeting on Dominican Crisis"--in part:

"The meeting was held at 1800 hours on 5 June 1961 in Room 7514 of the Department of State. Among those present were Vice President Lyndon Johnson, Secretary McNamara, General Lemnitzer, Under Secretary Bowles, Deputy Under Secretary Alexis Johnson, Mr. Ed Murrow, Chief, USIA, Mr. Arthur Schlesinger, Mr. Richard Goodwin, Mr. Ted Achilles, Mr. Wim Coerr, Mr. Morales Carrion and Mr. Jameson.

"Vice President Lyndon Johnson referred to another message from Consul General Dearborn which was in an alarmist tone as to the possibility of implicating the United States in the delivery of arms to the dissidents. The Vice President then asked what was the timing and authority on the delivery of arms."

(Comment: The message to which Vice President Johnson	
referred is not in the operational files. We did not	(b)(1) (b)(3)
find a copy in a search of Cable Secretariat archives. Jake	(0)(0)
Esterline, who appears to have ridden herd on post-assassination	
planning, does not recall having heard of Vice President Johnson's	S

- 54 -

SECRET-EYES ONLY

query. The record suggests that he knew of it but does not now	
remember. There is an unsigned memorandum in the file (b)((b)(•
dated 6 June 1961 (the day after the meeting at State), subject:	3)
'ODACID Views on Passage of Arms to Dominican Dissidents."	
The memorandum summarizes State Department-Consulate involvement	
in having weapons furnished to the dissidents. The routing and	
record sheet attached to the memorandum shows it was originated	
WH3/DR&H, and was forwarded to C/OPS/WH	(b)(3)
(Esterline). Esterline initialed the routing and record sheet	
and returned the memorandum to This suggests to us	(b)(3
that J. C. King, upon returning from the meeting at State, asked	

J. C. King remembers the meeting at which the Vice President asked about the delivery of arms. He does not now recall the specific query. Re-reading his memorandum record of the meeting leads him to believe that the Vice President's question was directed to one of the State representatives. He feels that, if it had been directed to CIA, he would have made mention of that fact in his record of the meeting.

Esterline to have a summary pulled together.

A memorandum for the record prepared by J. C. King of a White House meeting on the Dominican crisis on 7 June 1961 makes no mention of U.S. involvement in passing weapons to the assassins. The meeting was chaired by the President, and Vice President Johnson was present.

- 54 a - .

SECRET-EVES ONLY

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953

SECRET-EYES ONLY

The preceding, quite detailed, and almost wholly documentary account of U.S. Government involvement with persons plotting the overthrow of Trujillo carries through the actual assassination. Early in the report we explained why the coup that was to follow the assassination never took place. Another essential part of the story is the roll-up of the assassination group and the discovery of U.S. involvement with them. The consulate cabled through CIA channels on 9 December 1961 that the 7 December issue of the Dominican newspaper La Nacion carried a story

"... based on Huascar Tejeda Pimentel's statement to Judge of Instruction that Wimpy (Lorenzo A. Berry) provided the caliber 30 M-1 Garand automatic carbines used to kill Trujillo."

(b)(7)(d)

- 55 **-**

(b)(7)(d)
•
·

.- 56 •

(b)(7)(d) (b)(1) (b)(3)

- 57 -

(b)(7)(d)	
(b)(4)	
(b)(1) (b)(3)	

- 58 -

SECRET-EVES ONLY

			(b)(3)
	his wife, and their children were allow	red to	
leave the Dominican	Republic. They went to Florida and sub	sequently	
visited Washington.		(b)(3	
	After amnesty was granted the plott	, , ,	,
December 1961,	returned to Santo Domingo and res	umed	(b)(3)
	They,	continued	(p)(p)(3)
to involve themselve	es in political intrigues. They were s	taunch	
supporters of the co	onservative Antonio Imbert/Wessin y Wess	in faction	

To recap:

a. Assistant Secretary of State Rubottom told J. C. King on 28 June 1960 that the U.S. Government was prepared to participate in the overthrow of the Trujillo regime to the extent of providing a small number of sniper rifles for the removal of key Trujillo people from the scene. General Cabell, as Acting DCI, on 1 July 1960 approved delivering 12 Springfield rifles to the Dominican dissidents by air drop or by sea. The rifles were never delivered.

and were involved in the actions that led to the overthrows of the

governments headed by Juan Bosch and later by Donald Reid Cabral.

b. On 10 February 1961 the chief of station cabled a suggestion that one small-size, high-fire-power weapon be forwarded in each pouch. This suggestion was not acted upon.

- 59 -

c. On 17 March 1961, the chief of station cabled a request
of Consul General Dearbon for the pouching of three 38 caliber
Smith and Wesson Special pistols for delivery to key members
of the dissident group. Headquarters was preparing to pouch
the pistols but apparently did not do so. There is no indication
in the operational files that the pistols were actually $(b)(1)$ $(b)(3)$
pouched. The request for pistols appears to have been overtaken
by a subsquent request for submachine guns.
d. On 26 March 1961 the station cabled a request for
permission to pass three semi-automatic M-l carbines plus
ammunition to The carbines and ammunition $(b)(1)$ $(b)(3)$
were in the consulate, having been left by departed naval personnel.
The station requested that headquarters pouch magazines. Head-
quarters approved passing the carbines and ammunition and pouched
the magazines. The cable authorizing passing the carbines was
released by the DD/P (Bissell). The station said it would file
off the carbines' serial numbers. The station cabled that the
carbines were passed to (b)(1)
on 7 April 1961. at the request(b)(1)
of the dissidents, gave the carbines to for (b)(3)
temporary safekeeping. later recovered the carbines from (b)(3)
and gave them to Antonio de la Maza, who reportedly kept $(0)(3)$ $(0)(3)$
\sim

- 60 -

them in the trunk of his automobile. It is probable that at least
one of the carbines was taken to the scene of the assassination
by de la Maza, but we have no evidence that it was actually used
in the shooting.
(b)(7)(d)
e. While at headquarters for consultations in early April
1961, Chief of Station evidently prevailed upon (b)(3)
headquarters to pouch four M-3 submachine guns and ammunition
to the station. The submachine guns were pouched and arrived
at the station on 19 April 1961. Headquarters cabled the station
on 17 April that the submachine guns were not to be passed to
the dissidents without additional headquarters approval. Despite
repeated pleas from the Chief of Station and from the Consul
General, Washington continued to refuse authorization to pass
them. We think it is safe to conclude that the submachine guns
were never passed to the dissidents.
A final, and rather sorry, footnote: We do not know the
motivations of men such as (b)(1) (b)(3)
/b\/7\/d\
(b)(7)(d)

- 61 -

(b)(7)(d)

- 62 -

Approved for Release: 2019/04/02 C06612953